UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CARMEN BRITT and LULA BAITY,

DECISION and ORDER

Plaintiffs,

04-CV-1032S(F)

٧.

BUFFALO MUNICIPAL HOUSING AUTHORITY. ELAINE GARBE, Supervisor, Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, JERI GIWA, Case Manager, Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority, GRACE MANOR HEALTH CARE FACILITY, INC., DAVID J. GENTNER, President/CEO; Grace Manor Health Care Facility, Inc., MARY STEPHAN, Registered Nurse, and Facility Representative, Grace Manor Health Care Facility, Inc., KATHY RANDALL, Director of Social Work, Grace Manor Health Care Facility, Inc., TIFFANY MATTHEWS, Social Worker, Grace Manor Health Care Facility, Inc., M.D. NELDA LAWLER, Grace Manor Health Care Facility, Inc., M.D. TERESA CHAU, Grace Manor Health Care Facility, Inc., M.D. JESUS A. LIGOTT, Erie County Medical Center, M.D. PHILLIP J. RADOS, Erie County Medical Center, M.D. JESSICA W. BLUME, Erie County Medical Center, EDWARD GIAMMINS, Erie County Medical Center, R.N. CARLA MAILLEAX, Erie County Medical Center, JOHN DOES 1-5, and JANES DOES 1-5,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES: RICHARD L. BAUMGARTEN, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

P.O. Box 242

Buffalo, New York 14201

COLUCCI & GALLAGHER, P.C. Attorneys for Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority Defendants JOHN J. MARCHESE, of Counsel 2000 Liberty Building

424 Main Street

Buffalo, New York 14202-3695

FELDMAN, KIEFFER & HERMAN LLP
Attorneys for Grace Manor Health Care
Facility, Inc. Defendants
JAMES J. NAVAGH, of Counsel
110 Pearl Street
Suite 400
Buffalo, New York 14202

FREDERICK A. WOLF
Erie County Attorney
Attorney for Erie County Defendants
JOSEPH F. REINA
Assistant Erie County Attorney, of Counsel
69 Delaware Avenue
Suite 300
Buffalo, New York 14202

This action was referred to the undersigned by Honorable William M. Skretny on February 16, 2005 for all nondispositive pretrial matters. The matter is presently before the court on Plaintiffs' motions for remand (Doc. No. 5), filed January 27, 2005, and for an extension of time to serve and for permission to use alternative means for service of process (Doc. No. 6), filed January 1, 2005.

On October 1, 2004, Plaintiffs commenced this action in New York Supreme Court, Erie County, alleging Defendants violated their civil rights. Summons with Notice was served on Defendants Grace Manor Health Care Facility, Inc. ("Grace Manor"), and the Grace Manor employees named as Defendants in this action (together, "Grace Manor Defendants"), on December 7, 2004. Believing that Plaintiffs may be claiming violations of their federal civil rights, the Grace Manor Defendants removed the action to this court on December 27, 2004.

On January 27, 2005, Plaintiffs moved to remand the action to New York

Supreme Court, Erie County, on the basis that not all Defendants had consented to the

removal.¹ Plaintiffs also seek an award of costs incurred in connection with the motion.

On February 1, 2005, Plaintiffs moved for an extension of time in which to serve the

Complaint, and for permission to use an alternative means for service of process.

In a Response filed by the Grace Manor Defendants on April 14, 2005 (Doc. No. 11) ("Grace Manor Defendants' Response"), the Grace Manor Defendants explain that based upon the Notice served on the Grace Manor Defendants in connection with Plaintiffs' commencement of this action, "it appeared that plaintiff's counsel might intend to allege a cause of action under federal law, which would require removal to federal court." Grace Manor Defendants' Response ¶ 4. Accordingly, the Grace Manor Defendants removed the action to federal court "before the Complaint was served in order to avoid the possibility of missing the deadline for removal." Id. ¶ 5. Although the Grace Manor Defendants have yet to be served with the Complaint, the Grace Manor Defendants' counsel explains that he had discussed the matter on several occasions with Plaintiff's counsel and, "[b]ased on Mr. Baumgarten's assurance that no federal claims have been raised, nor will they be raised in this action, I [Grace Manor Defendants' counsel] am willing to consent that this motion be granted and the action remanded to State Court." Id. ¶ 9. The Grace Manor Defendants further state that Plaintiffs' counsel has agreed to withdraw any claim for costs and disbursements with regard to the motion. *Id.* ¶ 10. Plaintiffs have not submitted anything challenging any of the statements in the Grace Manor Defendants' Response.

The citizenship of the parties to this action is not diverse. As such, unless the

¹ Plaintiffs' motion to remand (Doc. No. 5) was refiled on January 31, 2005 (Doc. No. 7) for compliance with electronic filing signature requirements.

Complaint raises a federal question, the court is without jurisdiction over the action.

See 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (governing what actions brought in state court may be removed

to federal district court). Based on the representations Plaintiffs' counsel has made to

counsel for the Grace Manor Defendants that Plaintiffs are not alleging any federal civil

rights claim, the court is without jurisdiction over the action, and Plaintiffs' motion to

remand (Doc. No. 5) is GRANTED. Furthermore, as the court is without jurisdiction

over the instant action, the court is unable to rule on Plaintiffs' motion seeking an

extension of time to serve and for permission to use an alternative means of service of

process and the motion (Doc. No. 6) is DISMISSED as moot.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Leslie G. Foschio

LESLIE G. FOSCHIO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: September 28, 2005

Buffalo, New York